

#### **Research Goal**

The stock market is ever changing and there is no certain way to predict which stocks will perform better than others. We plan to compile the stock data that is given to us by Challenge Data and develop an algorithm in order to predict how stocks will perform in the future. Many patterns can be seen in the data and our team plans to be able to predict, with a strong level of accuracy, the return of a stock based on the performance of that stock over a twenty day period. Our team will be using primarily R studio to perform our data analysis on this dataset. We plan to represent our data using many graphs showing the correlation between different stock parameters and the return. Using these graphs, we will be able to determine whether our hypotheses are correct and to what magnitude they impact the total return.

## Linear Regression

- Tests were ran to determine how much correlation there was between the volume of stocks (grouped by the industry) and the return. We then attempted to use these results to see if the stocks were increasing or decreasing on average with the hypothesis that a stock that increased over a 20 day period would be profitable over one that did not increase over the same period.
- After testing the correlation between several sets of variables, we did not find any linear correlations that stood out. The most significant observation from this method was found when we grouped the stocks by the industry. We noticed that there was a large variance in the volume of the stocks based on which industry they were in.
- Through testing with linear regression, we were unable to get any reliable accuracy with our test data, but we did observe that s
- Given the highly complex nature of stocks and the incredibly low accuracy rate, using a linear model simplifies too much of the variations in stock returns (and volume) to make it reliable in any way.

#### **K-Nearest Neighbors**

• By using the k-nearest neighbors pattern recognition algorithm, we analyzed clusters in our given data. The intention with this method was to focus on the clusters that were given as profitable and non-profitable.



40

0.3

0.25

- Due to the binary nature of our results dataset and the values that were in our given data, we were unable to use the k-nearest neighbors function to create any kind of reliable predictions.
- We ruled out k-nearest neighbors as a viable strategy without having any productive accuracy concluding that it was not fit for our purpose.

### **Random Forest**

- A random forest model was used against our given training data. The model took the industry of the stock as well as the volume and return rates for the past twenty days. Unfortunately due to memory constraints we were only able to train this model based on the first 50,000 rows (stocks).
- The predictions that we were able to generate using our random forest testing were 49.82% accurate to the given results.
- We concluded that this test was not an effective metric for stock prediction due to the accuracy being too low to produce any sort of profit.

## **Concluding Points**

- Although reliable results could not be attained through the use of the random forest method, we concluded that it was the most accurate of our tested methods. All of our testing methods have been ruled out due to the absence of profitable results.
- After many tests, our team consistently found that our resulting accuracy was around 50%. All of these tests were as accurate as choosing randomly (a test that we did look into).
- Through comparison with the other scores of this competition, we observed that all teams were getting an accuracy within 52.04% of the actual result set.
- In the end, our team concluded that there is no sure way to predict the stock market. Some patterns can be observed to see which industries are more popular for investmenting, but observation of those patterns only yield a 2% window of profit.

# **Stock Return Prediction**

Austin Monroe, Joel Watlington, Christopher Laycock, Logan Davis



The image above shows the error rates of the random forest and shows that as the forest grows (more trees), the error rate goes down.



The image above shows the relevance of the industry groupings. We graphed the change in stock value against the industry group to visualize the variance in the industries.

Analysis Strategy	Relevant to Dataset	Viable Prediction Method
Linear Regression	Yes	No
KNN	No	No
Random Forest	Yes	No











#### The image above shows the correlation of the dates versus the residuals within this specific case. As the dates are randomized, these results are subject to change.

between the overall residual returns and stock volumes sold over the twenty-day period.