

Abstract

The development of fiber composites in recent years has been remarkably strong, owing to their high performance and durability. The fatigue behavior of components is an important knowledge block, as cyclic loading is a common feature of most engineering applications. The scope of this poster is to present the fatigue property findings of Carbon Fiber-Reinforcing Polyethylene Terephthalate Glycol (CF-PETG) components manufactured by Fused Filament Fabrication (FFF) with a focus on different printing orientation. Simplify 3D and Stacker S2 are used to slice and manufacture the components respectively. The printing orientation and direction used are XY-0^o, XY 45^o and XY 90^o. Fatigue testing is carried on 70% of Ultimate Tensile Strength (UTS). Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) is used to analyze the data obtained from the fatigue test.

FFF

- recent advances in additive The manufacturing (AM) have driven this technology as a competing alternative manufacturing traditional processes.
- most extended AM One the of techniques is FFF and is used in this research due to its popularity and low cost.
- generates a 3D object by FFF extruding a filament of a heated material [1], as shown in fig. 1 [2], which is accurately distributed onto successive layers.



Fig. 1: Layer-by-layer FFF process [2]

• The main advantages of this technology include: the ability to develop complex shapes practically without geometric limitations and the conversion from the 3D solid model to the manufactured part with configuration of only a few parameters.

Methodology

The steps followed for this research study is highlighted in fig. 2.



Fig. 2: Methodology for the research

Material and Standards

For this research, XT CF 20- Eastman Amphora PETG base resin combines with 20% carbon fibers is used.

Material Properties

- Density 1.35 g/cm3
- Glass Transition Temperature 75⁰ C
- The geometry considered is based on the ISO-11782-1 and the tensile ar fatigue tests are carried as per ISO 13003 [3].

Tensile and Fatigue Behavior of CF-reinforced PETG manufactured by Fused Filament Fabrication

Ms. Mithila Rajeshirke, Dr. Ismail Fidan Mechanical Engineering Department, Tennessee Tech University

CAD Modeling and Slicing

- SolidWorks is used to create the CAD model as shown in the fig. 3.
- The files are saved in the STL format which is requirement of the slicing software.
- Simplify 3D is used to slice (apply printing) parameters) the imported model.
- The important printing parameters are as shown in table 1.
- The printing orientation and filament direction is shown in fig 4 and 5 respectively.





Table 1: Printing Parameters		
Nozzle Diameter	0.4	
Layer Height	0.2	
Number of Shells	2	
Infill pattern	Rectilinear	
Extruder Temp.	250 ⁰ C	
Bed Temp.	70 ⁰ C	
Printing speed	50 mm/sec	

T.I.I. 4. D.'.



XY-0⁰

Equipments

- Stacker S2 Industrial Grade 3D Printer is used for the manufacturing of the specimens as shown in fig. 6.
- 810E4-15 Dynamic Test System as shown in fig. 7 is for the Tensile and used Fatigue tests. It has 15KN axial load capacity for static and fatigue testing applications.



Experimental Results

Results of tensile tests and the specimens after tests are presented in table 2 and fig. 8 respectively.

		Table 2: Results of Tensile Tests			
Ultimate Tensile Load(UTL) (N)	Mean of Two (N)	70% of UTL (N)			
2708.64					
2440.07	2574.35	1802.04			
1907.53					
1911.44	1909.48	1336			
2146.35					
2169.76	2158.05	1510			
	Ultimate Tensile Load(UTL) (N) 2708.64 2440.07 1907.53 1907.53 2146.35 2169.76	Ultimate lensile Load(UTL) (N)Mean of Two (N)2708.6422440.072574.351907.531909.482146.352158.05			



Fig. 4: Printing orientation



90⁰ **XY-90**⁰

Fig. 5: Filament direction



Fig. 7: 810E4-15 Dynamic Test System



Fig. 8: Tensile Specimens

Results of fatigue tests and the specimens after tests are presented in table 3 and fig. 9 respectively. After tensile tests, fatigue tests were carried out considering-Maximum load = 70% of UTL

- R=0.1
- Frequency = 3Hz.



Orienta XY-C

XY-9

XY-4

Fig. 9: Fatigue Specimens

Statistical Analysis

The dis cycles i DF-Deg SS-Sum MS-Mea	strib s pr rees of S n Sc	oution of esented of Freed Squares quare	the n in fig. 1 Iom	umbe 0.	r of	2000	F Prol
Table 4: ANOVA Table					1500 sej	_	
Source	DF	SS	MS	F Value	P- Value	1000	
Model	2	2156477.55 6	1078238. 778	10.07	0.012 1		
Error	6	642561.333	107093.5 56			500	
Corrected	8	2799038.88 9					

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) is used for the statistical analysis as presented in table 4

P-value < 0.05, which concludes that there is enough evidence to suggest</p> printing orientation affects the fatigue behavior.

Conclusions

This work presents the fatigue behavior of XT CF-20 for different printing orientations. The study concludes

- Printing orientation affects fatigue cycles.
- Highest Tensile strength is found in XY-0°
- The opposite trend is observed for fatigue tests.
- The highest number of cycles are observed for XY-90^o with minimum standard deviation.
- In future, different printing parameters such as infill pattern, printing orientations such as XZ-90° etc. can be considered.

References

- [1] Travieso-Rodriguez, J. Antonio, et al. "Fatigue behavior of PLA-wood composite manufactured by fused filament fabrication." Journal of Materials Research and Technology 9.4 (2020): 8507-8516. [2] Gupta, Ankit, et al. "Processing, mechanical characterization, and micrography of 3D-printed short carbon fiber reinforced polycarbonate polymer matrix composite material." The International Journal of Advanced
- Manufacturing Technology 107.7 (2020): 3185-3205. [3] ISO, 13003:2003 Fibre-reinforced Plastics – Determination of Fatigue Properties Under Cyclic Loading Conditions, ISO, Switzerland, (2003).

Acknowledgements

This project has been funded by the National Science Foundation Award Number 1902437. The funding provided by the National Science Foundation is greatly appreciated by the authors.



Table 3: Fatigue Testing				
	Max.	Min.		
ation	Load	Load	No. of cycles	
	1800	180	1033	
	1800	180	621	
) 0	1800	180	1409	
	1300	130	2273	
	1300	130	2163	
00	1300	130	2202	
	1500	150	1466	
	1500	150	2196	
5 ⁰	1500	150	1533	

	Distribution of cycles	
10.07 b > F 0.0121		◇
	~	
0	45	90
	0	

Fig. 10: Distribution of number of cycles