

Why is this investigation needed?

As technology has advanced over the past years, the slip surfaces analyzed during the design of slopes have become more advanced compared to the basic circular surfaces used in earlier vears. While this has been happening, the slopes that were designed with the simpler circular methods and then re-evaluated with these new more complex non-circular methods are shown to have a lower factor of safety during re-evaluation. This then incites the question of what does this mean for engineering design? If we can prove that the more complex non-circular slip surface methods are more accurate, then a possible shift to a lower design factor of safety may be required. This would not mean that the slope is less stable, but that the methods to analyze these slopes have become more accurate; therefore, a higher factor of safety in design is not required.

In order to investigate this and be able to verify results, the use of case studies is being implemented. These case studies give us soil information and design information, which can be remodeled in software and then analyzed using the different non-circular slip surface method. These can then be compared to the equivalent results of common circular methods. This research is still ongoing, but during early stages, there have been "critical" inputs that must be acknowledged, as they will drastically affect the calculated factor of safety for the slope being analyzed.

How are we investigating this

- The use of slope stability software is being implemented with the plan to explore many of the software's that are used in geotechnical practice in order to explore as many slip surface search methods as practical (1)(2)(3)(5)
- There is also observation of "Critical Inputs"
 which will need to be observed
- The validation of some slopes will also be explored by Finite Element Analysis (FEM) software to verify that the surfaces found are valid (4)
- The checking of 3D models will also be done to make sure similar failure surfaces are being found as compared to the 2D model
- All current examples are models of case studies in order to verify results (6)

Investigation of Advanced Non-Circular Slip Surface of Slopes Dylan Alissandrello, Dr. Daniel R. VandenBerge

ylan Alissandrello, Dr. Daniel R. VandenBerge Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering

Illustration of the importance of this

To show the importance of this topic, below Table 1 and Figure 1 show just how drastically the Factor of Safety (FS) can change based just on the slip surfaces search method used for the same 2D slope. (3)(5)(6)

	James Bay Dike																
	Slip Method	Optimized	FS	% Diffrence		pa			ti								
Circular	Circular Auto Refine - Optimized	Y	1.461	0.0%	1.750	ptimia			N-9		-	1					
	Circular Auto Refine - Convex - Optimized	Y	1.169	-20.0%		6-9			Refir	paz	- interest						
Simple Non-Circular	Non-Circular Auto Refine - Optimized	Y	1.163	-20.4%	1.650	Roffin	Ð		Auto	ptimi	- 00	-					
	Non-Circular Auto Refine - Not Optimized	N	1.472	0.8%	200	Auto	inize	p	og	d th	- Not						p.
	Path Search - Optimized	Y	1.732	18.5%	1.550	cular	-Opt	timite	ion-C	Sean	- and			red		B	2 mg
	Path Search - Not Optimized	N	1.759	20.4%	1450	-	awex	d0-	-	Path	ath s			Option	-10	omic	010
Non-Circular	Cuckoo - Optimized	Y	1.160	-20.6%	acto		16 - C	Refine					inize	-10	search	0-3	4-50
	Cuckoo - Not Optimized	N	1.183	-19.0%	1.350		Refer	Auto			-	parie	t Opt	nSca	ptimi	neafr	meab
	Particle Swarm Search - Optimized	Y	1.163	-20.4%			Auto	outar				the state	0. No	Swan	de Su	d An	ed Au
	Particle Swarm Search - Not Optimized	N	1.195	-18.2%	1,250		rcular	-Or				ton	ucko	dide	Partie	ulate	mulat
	Simulated Annealing - Optimized	Y	1.163	-20.4%	1150		-	20				-		2		Sin	3
	Simulated Annealing - Not Optimized	N	1.173	-19.7%	-1.130						Slip Su	rtace Mi	thiod				
Ta	able 1: James Bay Dike Slip Surface Metho	d Summary	,			F	iaure	1: Jam	es Ba	v Dik	e Slir	o Surfa	ice Me	hod C	ompari	son	

Critical Inputs

 Critical Inputs are inputs into the slip surface method that may cause the method to find an invalid slip surface, non-controlling slip surface, etc. Figure 2 below show (A) correct slip surface, (B) invalid slip surface, then (C) non-controlling slip surface. These were all found with the same method just varying inputs. (3)(5)(6)



Finite Element Analysis Verification

 Finite Element Analysis can be implemented to check the slip surface for means of verification. For simplicity, the Figure 3 (A) below shows the correct slip surface using slope stability software, and this can be verified using finite element analysis, shown below in figure 3 (B) which very similarly matches the slope stabilities software a solution figure 3 (A). (3)(4)(6)



Tennessee Technological University



TENNESSEE TECH

Preliminary 3D Model and Analysis

3D analysis has yet to be done for the investigation of advanced non-circular slip surface of slopes. There is much support that the factor of safety for 3D will be higher than 2D therefore it is assumed to not be a controlling model. This higher factor of safety is thought to come from the way that the soil forces are calculated and then used in order to find the slip surfaces of slopes. Analysis will be done in the future to either prove or disprove this topic, but these are the preliminary ideas.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank my advisor, Dr. Daniel Vandenberge, whose knowledge has been guiding me through my research and studies. I would also like to thank Dr. Gary Gregory for his support for myself and Dr. Vandenberge to look into this issue as my master's thesis project. Lastly, I would like to thank the department of civil and environmental engineering at Tennessee Technological University for their support, as well as providing me the resources to properly investigate this issue.

Works Cited

- (1)(2021). Stability Modeling with SLOPE/W. https://doi.org/https://downloads.geoslope.com/geostudioresources/8/0/6/books/sl ope%20modeling.pdf?v=8.0.7.6129
- (2)Geostudio. (n.d.). Version (Slope/W).
 GeoStudio. Retrieved March 5, 2022, from https://www.geoslope.com/products/geostudi o.
- (3)Rocscience. (n.d.). Version (Slide 2). rocscience. Retrieved August, 2021, from https://www.rocscience.com/.
- (4)Rocscience. (n.d.). Version (RS2). rocscience. Retrieved August, 2021, from https://www.rocscience.com/.
- (5)Surface options. Documentation | Surface Options. (n.d.). Retrieved March 5, 2022, from

https://www.rocscience.com/help/slide2/docu mentation/slide-model/slip-surfaces/slipsurfaces-2

(6)Duncan, J. M., Wright, S. G., & Brandon, T. L. (2014). Soil strength and slope stability. John Wiley & Sons Inc.